

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,248	03/30/2004	Plinio Pimentel	3408.2.8	4822
	7590 09/24/201 P & HARDMAN	EXAMINER		
170 South Mai	n Street, Suite 735	OKEKE, IZUNNA		
SALT LAKE (	CITY, UT 84101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptocorrespondence@austin-rapp.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/813,248 PIMENTEL, PLINIO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		IZUNNA OKEKE	2432				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY  CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  No evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  SIX (5) MONTH's from the mailing date of the communication, period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period for the communication of the comm	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2010.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	* *	A) 🗖 Interview 🗘	(DTO 442)				
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
	The second secon	F) Notice of Informal D	Intent Assignation				

Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie  3) Information-Disclessure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	w (PTO-948) Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)Mail Date. of Informal Patent Application
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summan	Part of Panor No Mail Date 20100918

Application/Control Number: 10/813,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 2432

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The amendment recites new matter which is not disclosed in the specification. Claim 1 is amended to recite "determining whether the connection is identified in the authorized connection list without identifying a desired file" and applicant states in the argument/remark that support for the amendment can be found in Para 46-47 of the specification. However, examiner has reviewed the cited paragraphs and the specification and cant find any support for the limitation especially the newly added line "without identifying a desired file". Examiner requests that applicant clearly point out and indicate the portions of the specification that provides support for the amendment (without identifying a desired file) or address the new matter issue.

Applicant then argues with respect to the amended claim that McBrearty does not disclose "determining whether the connection is identified in the authorized connection list without identifying a desired file". McBrearty teaches checking the connection against an authorized connection list and if the connection is not authorized (unauthorized connection) then access to the requested file is prohibited (See McBrearty, Col 5, Line 29-48). McBrearty's disclosure anticipates claim 1 which also identifies and prevents access to the file if the connection is unauthorized. So, contrary to applicant's assertion, McBrearty discloses identifying whether a connection is authorized or unauthorized, if the connection is unauthorized, then access to the requested file is prevented as positively recited in claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2432

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 13, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "determining whether the connection is identified in the authorized connection list without identifying a desired file" is not supported by the specification. See explanation above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McBrearty et al. (US-7647402)

## a. Referring to claim 1, 13, 15 and 18:

Regarding claim 1 and similar claims 13, 15 and 18, McBrearty teaches in a computing device, a method for protecting sensitive files from unauthorized access Col 2, Line 45-56....

Protecting sensitive files from unauthorized access), comprising: detecting a connection of the computing device to an electronic device; accessing an authorized connection list (Col 5, Line 29-43... connection of a computing device to a database server based on an authorized list);

Art Unit: 2432

determining whether the connection is identified in the authorized connection list; and if the connection is not identified in the authorized connection list without identifying a desired file (See the response to argument and Col 5, Line 29-63... when a file request is made, a determination is made if the connection was authenticated based on the authorized list): accessing sensitive file information which identifies at least one sensitive file stored on the computing device, wherein the sensitive file is not identified until after the connection has been identified as not being in the authorized connection list (Col 5, Line 30-63.... a connection request is first made to a file server and the requester is checked against an authorized list, after that, a file is requested and based on the authentication (authorized list), access to file is allowed or prevented); and preventing access to the at least one sensitive file identified by the sensitive file information by

performing an access to the at least one sensitive the inclinated by the sensitive the information by performing an access prevention task after the connection is not identified in the authorized connection list, wherein the at least one sensitive file continues to be stored on the computing device (Col 6, Line 10-19.... preventing access to the file by performing a prevention task if the requester is not authorized/identified (by the authorized list) and the file continues to be stored on the database).

## Referring to claim 2, 16 and 19:

Regarding claim 2, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 1, wherein if the connection is not identified in the authorized connection list the method further comprises: detecting termination of the connection; and if the computing device does not have any other unauthorized connections, restoring access to the at least one sensitive file identified by the sensitive file

Art Unit: 2432

information (Col 6, Line 21-26.... following termination of the session, restoring the server to normal operations).

## a. Referring to claim 3:

Regarding claim 3, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the connection occurs via a computer network (Col 3, Line 64 thru Col 4, Line 19).

## a. Referring to claim 5:

Regarding claim 5, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the connection is a direct connection (Col 5, Line 30-33... direct system to database connection).

## a. Referring to claim 6, 8, 17 and 20:

Regarding claim 1, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the access prevention task comprises locking the at least one sensitive file (Col 6, Line 10-19.... Access prevention task such as renaming the file, hiding the file or moving the file to another location)

## a. Referring to claim 9 and 10:

Regarding claim 1, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the sensitive file information is a reference to a directory in which the at least one sensitive file is stored (Col 3, Line 58-64).

## a. Referring to claim 11 and 12:

Regarding claim 1, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the authorized connection list comprises a list of at least one authorized network (Col 3, Line 64 thru Col 4, Line 11.... authorization for a network and type such as internet web connection).

## a. Referring to claim 14:

Art Unit: 2432

Regarding claim 14, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising: providing the authorized connection list; providing the sensitive file information; and transmitting the authorized connection list and the sensitive file information to the plurality of computing devices via the enterprise network (Col 5, Line 29-42.... Providing the connection list and protected file).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McBrearty et al. (US-7647402), and further in view of Elliott et al. (US-20030056095).
- Referring to claim 4:

Regarding claim 4, McBrearty teaches the method of claim 3.

McBrearty does not teach the connection network as a wireless network and the device as a mobile device. However, Elliott teaches a method of securing encrypted files from unauthorized access where the connection is made through a wireless network and by a mobile device (See Elliott, Para 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify McBrearty's method and invention to include support for a wireless network and a mobile device as the client as taught by Elliott for the purpose of improving the system by incorporating wireless devices as client device making request for access to files on the sever.

Art Unit: 2432

 Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McBrearty et al. (US-7647402), and further in view of Kung (US-5265159)

## a. Referring to claim 7:

Regarding claim 7, McBrearty teaches performing an access prevention task on a sensitive file when an unauthorized access is made to the file. McBrearty does not teach one of the access prevention tasks as encrypting the sensitive file. However, deletion of sensitive file by encrypting the files to prevent unauthorized access to the file is well known in the art. For instance, Kung discloses an access prevention task of deleting a file by encrypting the file to prevent unauthorized access to it (See Kung, Abstract). Therefore, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to modify McBrearty's access prevention task to include the task of encrypting the file to prevent access to the file thereby protecting the file from unauthorized access.

## Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2432

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IZUNNA OKEKE whose telephone number is (571)270-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/IZUNNA OKEKE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432

/Gilberto Barron Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432